

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/766,740	JACKAM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	BRIAN MCCAIG	1797	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) BRIAN MCCAIG.

(2) RYAN CARTER.

**Date of Interview:** 4 August 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 103

Claims discussed:  
 122, 198, 225

Prior art documents discussed:  
 van Loon and Kenneally et al

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
 See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION ENTERED AND FORWARDED TO EXAMINER

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

BAM  
8/11/2010

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 77 appears to be in condition for allowance with the limitation precluding water washing. Claim 122 is improper since it depends on claim 95, which has been cancelled. If the limitation precluding the water washing were incorporated into claims 198 and 225, the application may be in condition for allowance (and if claim 122 is amended as well)..